	Case 2:20-cv-01825-KJM-KJN Docume	ent 13 Filed 01/27/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL RAZAVI,	No. 2:20-cv-1825-KJM-KJN PS
12	Plaintiff,	<u>ORDER</u>
13	v.	(ECF No. 11, 12)
14	DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS	
15	AFFAIRS, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17	On January 21, 2021, the court received plaintiff's self-titled "Motion to Dismiss	
18	Complaint Without Prejudice." (ECF No. 11.) Defendants responded, arguing that plaintiff's	
19	filing should be construed as a notice of voluntary dismissal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil	
20	Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i). (ECF No. 12.) The court concurs.	
21	Rule 41(a)(1)(A) provides that "the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order	
22	by filing (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a	
23	motion for summary judgment" <u>See Concha v. London</u> , 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995)	
24	("Under Rule 41(a)(1), a plaintiff has an absolute right voluntarily to dismiss his action prior to	
25	service by the defendant of an answer or a motion for summary judgment. Even if the defendant	
26	has filed a motion to dismiss, the plaintiff may terminate his action voluntarily by filing a notice	
27	of dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1). The dismissal is effective on filing and no court order is	
28	requiredUnless otherwise stated, the dismissal is ordinarily without prejudice to the plaintiff's	
		1

Case 2:20-cv-01825-KJM-KJN Document 13 Filed 01/27/21 Page 2 of 2

right to commence another action for the same cause against the same defendants."); see also United States v. Real Property Located at 475 Martin Lane, Beverly Hills, CA, 545 F.3d 1134, 1145 (9th Cir. 2008) (noting that dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i) requires no action on the part of the court and divests the court of jurisdiction once the notice of voluntary dismissal is filed).

Here, defendants have not yet served an answer or motion for summary judgment in this case. Therefore, plaintiff's request for dismissal is effective without a court order. However, for purposes of clarity, the undersigned now ORDERS that:

- 1. Plaintiff's notice of voluntary dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i) is found to be effective;
- 2. The findings and recommendations on defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 10) are WITHDRAWN as moot; and
- 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE this case and vacate all dates.

Dated: January 26, 2021

raza.1825

KENDALL J. NEWMAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE